Examiner-Initiated Interview Summary	Application No.	Applicant(s)
	10/086,025	ANDERSON ET AL.
	Examiner	Art Unit
	Arlen Soderquist	1743
All Participants:	Status of Application	:
(1) Arlen Soderquist.	(3)	
(2) <u>Jonathan W. Hallman</u> .	(4)	
Date of Interview: 21 September 2004	Time:	
Type of Interview: ☐ Telephonic ☐ Video Conference ☐ Personal (Copy given to: ☐ Applicant ☐ Applic Exhibit Shown or Demonstrated: ☐ Yes ☐ No If Yes, provide a brief description:	cant's representative)	
Part I.		
Rejection(s) discussed: none		
Claims discussed: all claims pending in the application to this point		
Prior art documents discussed:		
Part II.		
SUBSTANCE OF INTERVIEW DESCRIBING THE GENE See Continuation Sheet	ERAL NATURE OF WHAT	WAS DISCUSSED:
Part III.		
 ☐ It is not necessary for applicant to provide a separate directly resulted in the allowance of the application. The of the interview in the Notice of Allowability. ☐ It is not necessary for applicant to provide a separate did not result in resolution of all issues. A brief summation 	ne examiner will provide a record of the substance or	written summary of the substance f the interview. since the interview.
(Examiner/SPE Signature) (Applican	nt/Applicant's Representativ	/e Signature – if appropriate)

Continuation of Substance of Interview including description of the general nature of what was discussed: Examiner explained that the claims originally filed in this application were renumbered due to the presence of three claims that were improperly numbered, thus the amendment filed April 20, 2004 needs to be renumbered since it did not take into account the renumbering of the original claims. After discussion, it was agreed that examiner would cancel all original claims and renumber the claims in the April 20, 2004 amendment to start at claim 109 and end at 124. This renumbered set of claims will be sent to scanning to place it in the image file record.